

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MICHAEL B. JAMES,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	8:07CV121
)	
v.)	
)	
CITY OF OMAHA,)	MEMORANDUM AND
)	ORDER
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("IFP") on appeal. (Filing No. 69.) On February 7, 2008, the court dismissed Plaintiff's claims with prejudice and entered judgment in favor of Defendant. (Filing Nos. 66 and 67.) On February 13, 2008, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal of the court's Judgment. (Filing No. 68.) Plaintiff is not a prisoner and has previously been granted leave to proceed IFP in this matter. (Filing No. 5.)

1 Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3) states:

(a) Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

(3) Prior Approval. A party who was permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action, or who was determined to be financially unable to obtain an adequate defense in a criminal case, may proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization, unless the district court – before or after the notice of appeal is filed – certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith or finds that the party is not otherwise entitled to proceed in forma pauperis. In that event, the district court must state in writing its reasons for the certification or finding.

The court finds that because Plaintiff proceeded IFP in the district court, he may now "proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization."

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal (filing no. 69) is granted.

2. The Clerk of the court shall provide the Court of Appeals with a copy of this Memorandum and Order.

February 27, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

s/Richard G. Kopf
United States District Judge